ssm

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

COMPANY PETITION NO.996 OF 2000

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's Orders or directions and Registrar's Orders	Court's or Judge's Orders

None present.

CORAM : ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.
DATED : 28th September, 2007

P.C.

1. By order dated 22nd March, 2001 this Court has admitted the petition after hearing both the parties and directed to comply with the requisite formalities including of advertisement. There is nothing to show that the petitioner has complied with all those formalities. Affidavit of publication is also not filed.

2. On 06/07/2007 when matter was listed for hearing none present again on 13/07/2007, therefore, the matter was directed to be placed under the caption for dismissal. On 20/07/2007 when at the request of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner it was

adjourned for one week, on 27/07/2007 none appeared again though it was listed under the caption for dismissal. On 03/08/2007 again none appeared for the petitioner. On 10/08/2007 the matter was adjourned as statement was made that they are exploring the possibility of settlement. On 24/08/2007 when matter was listed for settlement, none present again. On 31/08/2007 the statement was made again that the settlement talks are still going on. The matter was adjourned for four weeks.

3. None present again. The above dates and conduct shows that the petitioner is not at all interested in pursuing this petition and seeking time from court on the ground of settlement.

4. The petition is, therefore, dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty.

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.)